

UVic CES Faculty Report for Courses Offered in HU (201701)

UVic Course Experience Survey - Spring 2017


Project Audience 11306 Responses Received 5564 Response Ratio 49%

Creation Date Thu, May 11, 2017




I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions 2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were




Statistics	Value
Response Count	5864
Mean	4.49
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.79

The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear




Statistics	Value
Response Count	5836
Mean	4.22
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.98

3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course




4. The instructor was available to answer your	
questions or provide extra assistance as required	d



Statistics	Value
Response Count	5840
Mean	4.13
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.07




5. The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time



Statistics	Value
Response Count	5851
Mean	4.28
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.93


6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course




Statistics	Value
Response Count	5835
Mean	4.16
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.01

7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students 8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course

CES Roll-up by Faculty Code Report (HU 201701)




Statistics	Value
Response Count	5846
Mean	4.48
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.89



Statistics	Value
Response Count	5835
Mean	4.35
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.92


Il Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear




Statistics	Value
Response Count	5553
Mean	4.17
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.96

2. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear




Statistics	Value
Response Count	5540
Mean	4.21
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.93

3. The assigned work helped your understanding of 4. The course provided opportunities for you to the course content become engaged with the course material, for




Statistics	Value
Response Count	5532
Mean	4.21
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.91

4. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning




Statistics	Value
Response Count	5535
Mean	4.26
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.92

5. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair



Statistics	Value
Response Count	5541
Mean	4.15
Median	4.00


6. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts)



Statistics	Value
Response Count	5525
Mean	4.16

Standard Deviation	+/-0.95	Median	4.00
		Standard Deviation	+/-0.96


7. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience




Statistics	Value
Response Count	5526
Mean	4.24
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.92

III Statements About The Students:


My primary reason for taking the course.




The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend




Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was



The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:




As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:




IV Additional Statments:


The instructor uses teaching aids effectively (blackboard, overheads, visual aids and/or any other technology)



If the course had multiple instructors, how does it compare to courses with a single instructor?




If the course had a major project worth 20% or more of the final grade, the project contributed to my overall understanding of the course material




Standard Deviation +/-1.06

If the course required team-work, how effective was the team learning experience compared to individual study




Statistics	Value
Response Count	350
Mean	3.82
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.02

If the course had a lab, the lab contributed to the overall understanding of the course material



Statistics	Value
Response Count	330
Mean	3.40
Median	4.00
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	+/-1.17
Population Standard Deviation	+/-1.17
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.06
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.06


Overall, I would rate my experience in the Co-op program so far as:



Statistics	Value
Response Count	205

Mean	3.40
Median	3.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.95

Overall, I would rate my experience in the MPA/MADR/ Diploma/Certificate/ Minor so far as:




Statistics	Value
Response Count	218
Mean	3.55
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.98

The instructor shows mastery of subject matter.




Statistics	Value
Response Count	277
Mean	4.57
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.74


The instructor was effective in explaining grammatical, textual and translation problems.



Statistics	Value
Response Count	273
Mean	4.43
Median	5.00




Is this your first Medieval Studies Course?




Statistics	Value
Response Count	48
Mean	1.58
Median	2.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.50

Has this course enriched your knowledge and/or appreciation of the Middle Ages and the subject of this course?




Statistics	Value
Response Count	48
Mean	1.13
Median	1.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.33

Would you recommend this course to other students?



Statistics	Value
Response Count	48
Mean	1.29
Median	1.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.46


Do you plan to enroll in another Medieval Studies course?



Statistics	Value
Response Count	48
Mean	1.38


Median	1.00	
Standard Deviation	+/-0.49	

The intellectual content of the lectures, discussion and exercises was appropriate to the level of the course.




Statistics	Value
Response Count	129
Mean	4.43
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.85

The course developed an understanding and sensitivity for a range of intellectual viewpoints and cultural and social practices.




Statistics	Value
Response Count	129
Mean	4.45
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.83

Overall, how would you rate this course?




Statistics	Value
Response Count	128
Mean	4.48
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.80


Overall, how would you rate instructor?




How would you rate instructor 's ability to use the target language during classroom contact in order to facilitate students' listening and speaking skills?



The intellectual content of the lectures, discussion and exercises was appropriate to the level of the course.




The course developed an understanding and sensitivity for a range of intellectual viewpoints and cultural and social practices.



Overall, how would you rate this course?


Median

Standard Deviation



Statistics	Value
Response Count	200
Mean	4.36
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.86

Overall, how would you rate instructor?




Statistics	Value
Response Count	200
Mean	4.47
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.86
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.86
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.06
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.06

How would you rate the general quality of the lectures in stimulating you to undertake


5.00

+/-0.73


independent, critical thinking?




The intellectual content of the lectures, discussion and exercises was appropriate to the level of the course.




The course developed an understanding and sensitivity for a range of intellectual viewpoints and cultural and social practices.




Overall, how would you rate this course?



Overall, how would you rate instructor?




How would you rate the general quality of the seminar discussions in stimulating you to undertake independent, critical thinking?



On the basis of your experience in this course, how would you rank your interest in pursuing the new Religious Studies Major at UVic.

CES Roll-up by Faculty Code Report (HU 201701)



Statistics	Value
Response Count	36
Mean	2.47
Median	2.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.42

My Instructor gave time in class to complete this survey.

Options	Count	Percentage
Yes	2928	52%
No	2517	44%
Does not apply (online course, field course, etc.)	232	4%